

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,008	03/03/2004	Klemens Degen	113642-050	4255
7590 06/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC P.O. Box 1135			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
Chicago, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3677	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 .				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/792,008	DEGEN, KLEMENS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	<u>March 2004</u> .			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4,6,8,9 and 13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7 and 10-12 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a) $igotimes$ accepted or b) $igodiu$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/17/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa  6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - Figures 1-6

Species II - Figures 7-10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/792,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Michael S. Leonard on 01 April 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-4 and 6-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 2, "a" (first occurrence) should be deleted. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/792,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Hersker (US 4,809,399).

A spring clamp comprises a clamp mouth (Figs. 1-3). The clamping mouth has

two clamping jaws (22,24) spring loaded towards one another by a spring (3) (Fig. 1)

and are formed by first and second arms (1,2) that are connected to one another in an

articulated manner at one end (by 4). The other ends form actuating sections that can

be moved toward one another in order to open the clamping mouth (Figs. 1-3).

The one arm (2) of the first and second arms is substantially Y-shaped and the

other arm (1) of the first and second arms is substantially L-shaped.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3, 4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hersker in view of Veltz et al. (US 6,470,522 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/792,008 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

Hersker disclose a spring clamp having all the featues mentioned above for the rejection of claims 1 and 2. Hersker fails to disclose that the spring clamp has a hook pivotally mounted to one of the actuation section. However, Veltz discloses a clamp (20) having a clamping mouth (32) with two clamping jaws (22) connected in an articulated manner and having two actuating sections (42,58). One of the actuating sections has a hook (46) pivotally mounted thereon (Figs. 1-6). The hook allows attachment of the clamp to bulky objects or to be stored in a pouch (C. 3, L. 39-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a hook pivotally connected to one of the actuating section in accordance with the teaching of Veltz in the clamp of Hersker. Doing so, allows attachment of the clamp to bulky object or to be stored in a pouch.

Hersker also teaches that:

- The hook, when pivoted in, iş located in a substantially parallel position in relation to the actuating section (Figs. 1-6)
  - The hook has a closure tongue (52).
- The closure tongue is urged by spring force into a closed position and is curved in a direction of an inside of the hook (C. 4, L. 45-48 and Figs. 1-6).

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

8. Claims 7 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Harrison (US 1,792,837), Bell (US 3,654,755), Dragan (US 4,106,374), Hersker (US 4,809,399), Farber (US 4,907,477), Rittmann et al. (US 4,934,222), Cedargreen et al. (US 4,965,958), Eggert et al. (US D 383,294), Chen (US 5,876,026), Shui-Shang (US 6,185,795 B1) and Veltz et al. (US 6,470,522 B2) are cited to show state of the art with respect to clamps that have some of the features claimed by the current application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and

Art Unit: 3677

examination of patent applications will effectively increase the patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as PTO's mailroom processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence **not** permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP § 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee that the applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP § 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on \_\_\_\_(Date) \_\_.

(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP § 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response has been transmitted by facsimile will cause further unnecessary

Art Unit: 3677

delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6640.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth C. Rodriguez
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3677

rcr June 27, 2005